FILED
CLERK SUPREME COURT 98

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

MAURICE EDWARD RAHM,	)	
Appellee,	)	Filed May 31, 1979
vs.	)	
KATHLEEN MARIE RAHM,	)	114 2-61991
Appellant.	)	

Appeal from Polk District Court - Ray A. Fenton, Judge.

Wife appeals economic provisions of dissolution decree contending that she should receive a higher alimony award, that the parties' home should be sold and that trial court should not have reduced husband's child support obligation. - Affirmed as modified.

Martin R. Dunn, of Langley, Dunn, Mountain & Sellers, Des Moines, for Appellant.

Ronald W. Kuntz & Dennis L. Ruben, of Scalise, Scism, Gentry, Brick & Brick, Des Moines, for Appellee.

Submitted to Oxberger, C. J., and Donielson, Snell, Carter and Johnson, JJ.

PER CURIAM

Respondent Kathleen Rahm appeals economic provisions of decree dissolving her ten and one half year marriage to petitioner Maurice Rahm. We affirm with modification.

Initially, we will dispose of a jurisdictional attack on this appeal by noting that Iowa R. Civ. P. 335 applies to dissolution cases. See Lundberg v. Lundberg, 169 N.W.2d 815, 817 (Iowa 1969). Kathleen complied with this rule by filing her notice of appeal within thirty days following trial court's ruling on the motion for new trial.

Following the entry of the decree on February 24, 1978, both parties filed a number of motions. These motions were combined for hearing which was held on March 15, 1978. At that time, trial court found neither party in contempt as alleged by the other, overruled Maurice's motion for new trial, and reduced Maurice's child support obligation "after re-evaluating the financial picture of the parties." It does not apepar, however, that either party had filed a motion to modify the decree under Iowa R. Civ. P. 252. Maurice does not cite, nor are we aware of, any authority for the proposition that a trial court may change its final judgment without specific authorization by statute or rule of procedure. We find that trial court's reduction of child support payments constituted a modification of the original decree without the requisite showing of a material change in circumstances. The holding in Gilliam v. Gilliam, 258 N.W.2d 155, 156 (Iowa 1977), controls the instant case. Accordingly, we modify the decree by restoring the original child support award of $70 per week per child.

In connection with Kathleen's requests that the family home be sold and that she receive additional alimony, we have examined the record and have applied the factors enumerated in Schantz v. Schantz, 163 N.W.2d 398, 405 (Iowa 1968) as modified by In re Marriage of Williams, 199 N.W.2d 339, 345 (Iowa 1972). We affirm both the trial court's alimony award and its disposition of the couple's property.

Costs on appeal are taxed to Maurice.

AFFIRMED AS MODIFIED.