IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

STATE OF IOWA,	)	FILED
Plaintiff-Appellee,	)	Filed April 21, 1981
vs.	)	APR 21 1981
CALVIN A. ELEY,	)	CLERK SUPREME COURT
Defendant-Appellant.	)	1-48
		63946

Appeal from Winnebago District Court - Ray E. Clough, Judge.

Defendant appeals his conviction of possession of stolen property of value in excess of $500 in violation of section 714.1(4), The Code, alleging that the trial court erred by allowing a State's rebuttal witness to introduce impeachment testimony regarding one of defendant's witnesses without laying a proper foundation. AFFIRMED.

Kent W. Lewis of Brown, Johanson & Lewis, Forest City, Iowa, for defendant-appellant.

Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa, and Lona Hansen, Assistant Attorney General, for plaintiff-appellee.

Heard by Donielson, Acting C.J., Snell, Carter and Johnson, JJ. Considered en banc.

PER CURIAM

Defendant appeals his conviction of possession of stolen property of value in excess of $500 in violation of section 714.1(4), The Code. He argues that the trial court erred by allowing a State's rebuttal witness to introduce impeachment testimony regarding one of defendant's witnesses without laying a proper foundation. We affirm.

At trial, Arlo Anderson, one of defendant's witnesses, testified that he saw several of the allegedly stolen items at defendant's house or in his truck after defendant bought them from an unknown individual. The State then called Margaret Anderson, Arlo's mother, to testify in rebuttal that, on the basis of prior conversations with him, Arlo did not tell the truth during his testimony. Defendant objected to her testimony on hearsay grounds and also claimed that the State's failure to cross-examine Arlo constituted waiver of any attempt to impeach his testimony. These objections were overruled.

On appeal defendant claims that the court erred in allowing Margaret's testimony because the State did not first establish a proper foundation for purposes of impeaching Arlo's testimony. This issue was not raised at trial where only the hearsay and waiver questions were raised. We will not consider for the first time issues not raised at trial. State v. Jump, 269 N.W.2d 417, 430 (Iowa 1978). Because defendant failed to preserve error on his claim of lack of foundation we have no basis for making a finding of reversible error.

AFFIRMED.