FILED
MAR 31 1986
CLERK SUPREME COURT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

STATE OF IOWA,	)	
Plaintiff-Appellee,	)	Filed March 31, 1986
vs.	)	5-690 85-144
GERALD ISHAMEL,	)	
Defendant-Appellant.	)	299

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Woodbury County (No. CR 41432) - Dewie J. Gaul, Judge.

Defendant appeals from his conviction and sentence for sexual abuse second degree. **AFFIRMED.**

Charles Harrington, Appellate Defender, and Deborah A. Goins, Assistant Appellate Defender, for defendant-appellant.

Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General, and Rebecca L. Claypool, Assistant Attorney General, and Dennis D. Hendrickson, Assistant County Attorney, for plaintiff-appellee.

Considered by Oxberger, C.J., and Hayden, and Sackett, JJ.

SACKETT, J.

Defendant was charged with the sexual abuse of three children. The acts were alleged to have occurred about November 15, 1983.

At trial over a year later, one of the children, age nine, was unable to remember when the alleged acts occurred. A second child, age ten, remembered the alleged acts occurred near his birthday which was November 21.

A doctor who examined the children on December 18, 1983, testified one child told him she had been sexually abused over a period of time and the most recent episode was about a week ago. He noticed redness around the labia and determined it would probably have been there from five to nine days.

On December 8, 1983, defendant admitted to a police officer he sexually abused the three children. He also described the abuse that had taken place. The policeman testified to those admissions.

A police officer Houchins who interviewed the children shortly after the incident testified concerning the dates of the alleged offenses given to him by the children. The defendant had objected to the testimony as being hearsay. Defendant contends the trial court erred in admitting Houchins' testimony.

Defendant contends the testimony was excludable as hearsay. The state contends the trial court properly admitted it under Iowa R. Evid. 801(d). Without addressing the issue or determining if error were preserved, we find admission of the statements did not impact on the jury's finding of guilt. See State v. Nims, 357 N.W.2d 608, 609 (Iowa 1984). Error, if any, was harmless.

AFFIRMED.