IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

FILED
APR 2 0 1988
CLERK SUPREME COURT

291

STATE OF IOWA,	)	
Plaintiff-Appellee,	)	
vs.	)	Filed April 20, 1988
PHYLLIS IRENE ERBST,	)	8-117
Defendant-Appellant.	)	87-1370

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Scott County (Nos. 129227-01, -02) - Margaret S. Briles, Judge.

Defendant appeals from her sentence imposed following a plea of guilty. **AFFIRMED.**

Charles L. Harrington, Appellate Defender, and Linda Del Gallo, Assistant Appellate Defender, for defendant-appellant.

Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General; Lona Hansen, Assistant Attorney General; William E. Davis, County Attorney; and Michael Tobey and Gary L. Sissel, Assistant County Attorneys, for plaintiff-appellee.

Considered by Schlegel, P.J., and Sackett and Habhab, JJ.

PER CURIAM

Defendant appeals her sentence imposed following a plea of guilty to fraudulent practices in the third degree. See Iowa Code §§234.13(1), 714.8, 714.11 (1987). We affirm.

Defendant contends the trial court has an impermissible fixed policy of denying deferred judgments to the "active party" in a criminal offense. A trial judge who adopts a fixed sentencing policy and sentences under a predetermined policy does not satisfy a statutory requirement for the exercise of discretion. See State v. Jackson, 204 N.W.2d 915, 916 (Iowa 1973). Each sentencing decision is to be made on an individual basis and no one factor is determinative. State v. Ramirez, 400 N.W.2d 586, 590 (Iowa 1987). We interfere only if the defendant shows the sentencing court abused its broad discretion. Id.

We do not find the statements made by the trial court to evidence a fixed sentencing policy. The trial court did not abuse its discretion. See State v. Wright, 340 N.W.2d 590, 593 (Iowa 1983).

AFFIRMED.