FILED
SEP 2 8 1988
CLERK SUPREME COURT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

RICHARD L. HARDEN and **JANICE E. HARDEN**,	)	
Plaintiffs-Appellants,	)	123
vs.	)	
CITIZENS STATE BANK and **JOHN E. BREWER**, Agent	)	8-338 87-544
Defendants-Appellees.	)	

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Wayne County (No. 20787) - Peter A. Keller, Judge.

Plaintiffs appeal an adverse verdict. **AFFIRMED.**

Greg W. Steensland of the Steensland Law Office, Ames, for plaintiffs-appellants.

Kevin M. Reynolds and Robert Fanter of Whitfield, Musgrave, Selvy, Kelly & Eddy, Des Moines, for defendants-appellees.

Considered by Oxberger, C.J., and Sackett and Habhab, JJ.

PER CURIAM 121

Plaintiffs-Appellants Richard L. Harden and Janice E. Harden appeal a judgment for Defendants-Appellees Citizens State Bank and John E. Brewer, after a jury trial. The plaintiffs contend the trial court erred in giving several instructions and in failing to admit certain evidence. We affirm.

Plaintiffs claim the trial court erred in the giving of instructions for the claim of emotional distress, breach of fiduciary duty, interference with business contract and interference with prospective business advantage because the instructions provided the jury could not consider claims for intentional infliction of emotional distress which occurred more than two years prior to the filing of plaintiff's petition and the jury could not consider claims on the other elements which occurred five years prior to the date of the filing of the petition. Plaintiffs contend their dealings with the bank extended beyond five years and the trial court should not have incorporated a statute of limitations into the instructions.

Plaintiffs have not presented us with a statement of facts supported by appropriate references to the record or the appendix. See Iowa R. App. P. 14(4). There is no showing in plaintiffs' brief that the issue argued here was presented to the trial court. We do not consider on appeal objections to jury instructions which are not presented to

the trial court. Franken v. City of Sioux Center, 272 N.W.2d 422, 426 (Iowa 1978). In plaintiffs' brief they refer us to the instructions given but no references are made to what evidence would support the giving of the instructions as requested by plaintiffs. See Iowa R. App. P. 14(a)(5).

It is not our responsibility to attempt to find factual support for plaintiffs' arguments. See Keller v. Peoples Nat. Gas Co., Etc., 352 N.W.2d 688, 693 (Iowa App. 1984). We find no reason to reverse the trial court on the giving of the instructions.

Plaintiffs' last claim is the trial court erred in not allowing plaintiffs to present evidence concerning the nature of a replevin action filed against them. Plaintiffs do not specify the particular evidence they were precluded from introducing nor do they direct us to where in the record an offer of proof was made of evidence they were not allowed to introduce. There is no issue to consider. See Keller, 352 N.W.2d at 693.

AFFIRMED.