FILED
JUN 25 1985
CLERK SUPREME COURT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF KEITH D. HUNTLEY AND DELORES HUNTLEY

208

Upon the Petition of)
KEITH D. HUNTLEY,)
Petitioner-Appellant,) Filed June 25, 1985
And Concerning)
DELORES L. HUNTLEY,) 5-221
84-1209
Respondent-Appellee.)

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Cerro Gordo County - J. W. Frye, Judge.

Petitioner husband appeals from the economic provisions of a dissolution decree. AFFIRMED.

M. Wayne Oltrogge, Clear Lake, for petitioner-appellant.

Carlynn D. Grupp, Mason City, for respondent-appellee.

Considered by Snell, P.J., and Hayden and Sackett, JJ.

PER CURIAM

The parties were married in 1956. They are the parents of four children, three of whom are now adults. The youngest, a fifteen-year-old boy, was placed in Keith's custody by the dissolution decree. This custody award is not challenged on appeal.

At trial time, Keith was 47 years old and Delores 46. Keith is employed as a cable maintenance supervisor for an independent telephone company; his gross salary is $2760 per month. Delores is employed as a "wire-wrapper" at a factory and earns $320 per week.

Keith is in good health. Delores underwent surgery for breast cancer in 1981. Because of this surgery, she is required to buy prosthesis and special clothing. She has a checkup every three months. She also has required psychiatric care in recent years.

The parties' primary asset was a house valued at $45,000 but subject to a debt of $13,400. The trial court awarded this house to both parties as tenants in common and granted Keith the right to occupy the house. Delores lives in a one-bedroom apartment. The court provided that the house should be sold when the son graduates from high school or reaches the age of 18. The court directed Keith to pay Delores $4,000 immediately as a division of property; the court then provided that upon sale of the house Delores would receive half the net proceeds less $4,000, with Keith receiving the remainder of the net proceeds.

Each party was awarded a car. Keith kept most of the furniture and all the appliances. Delores received a bedroom set and some other odd pieces of furniture. In order to equalize the awards of personal property, the court directed Keith to pay Delores an additional $500 as division of property.

The court did not require Delores to pay any child support to Keith for the child in his custody. The court provided that when the child graduates from high

school or reaches the age of 18, whichever is later, Keith must begin to pay Delores alimony of $200 per month.

Keith has appealed from the dissolution decree. He challenges the alimony award, the division of property, and the trial court's refusal to award him child support. Delores requests attorney fees on appeal.

Our review is de novo. Iowa R. App. P. 4.

We find the property division to be equitable and affirm the order of the lower court. The factors which we consider in reaching this decision are set forth in Iowa Code section 598.21.

In light of each party's respective salary, the medical expenses Delores incurs and the division of the personal property, we conclude that the alimony award, the $4,000 lump sum payment, and the finding that Delores not be required to pay child support are proper. Because both parties worked during the marriage to provide the home for the family, we affirm the court's order awarding Delores half of the net balance of the sales price of the home less $4,000.

The findings of the trial court are affirmed. We order petitioner to pay $500 of the attorney fees incurred by the respondent on appeal.

AFFIRMED.