FILED
JAN - 9 1980
CLERK SUPREME COURT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

ARTHUR BRYSON,	)	
Claimant-Appellant,	)	Filed January 9, 1980
vs.	)	
IOWA DEPARTMENT OF JOB SERVICE,	)	345 2-63644
Agency-Appellee.	)	

Appeal from Polk District Court - Ray Hanrahan, Judge.

Petitioner appeals judgment affirming respondent's denial of unemployment benefits, asserting employer's offer to rehire him was not in good faith and did not constitute an offer of suitable employment. Affirmed.

Linda S. Pettit, Des Moines, for claimant-appellant.

Walter F. Maley and Blair H. Dewey of Iowa Department of Job Service, Des Moines, for agency-appellee.

Heard by Oxberger, C. J., Donielson, Snell, Carter and Johnson, JJ.

PER CURIAM

Petitioner appeals judgment affirming respondent's denial of unemployment benefits, asserting employer's offer to rehire him was not in good faith and did not constitute an offer of suitable employment. We find petitioner's argument without merit. An individual is disqualified from receiving unemployment benefits if the Iowa Department of Job Service finds that the individual failed, without good cause, to accept suitable employment when offered. § 96.5(3), The Code 1979. Here, petitioner was offered a job that he had performed for approximately one and one-half years. The rate of pay, hours, and conditions offered him were the same as those he had worked under previously. Petitioner's chief reason for his refusal of the employment was his dislike for the job and its low pay; these are insufficient by themselves to constitute good cause to excuse a refusal of suitable employment, particularly when the job offered was claimant's former job. See Bennett v. Employment Division, 25 Or. App. 239, 241, 548 P.2d 529, 529 (1976); Canton Malleable Iron Co. v. Green, 75 Ohio App. 526, 531, 62 N.E.2d 756, 759 (1944). The findings of the hearing officer that petitioner refused to accept suitable work, without good cause, and the respondent's actions are supported by substantial evidence in the record when the record is viewed as a whole; no other grounds for reversal were established by a preponderance of the evidence. §§ 17A.19, .20, The Code 1979.

We affirm the trial court's judgment.

AFFIRMED.