FILED
AUG 0 6 1993
CLERK SUPREME COURT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

No. 2-613 / 92-462

000691

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF AGNES I. WESTPHAL AND DON A. WESTPHAL

Upon the Petition of
AGNES I. WESTPHAL,
Petitioner-Appellee,

And Concerning
DON A. WESTPHAL,
Respondent-Appellant.

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Guthrie County, J.W. Jordan, Judge.

The respondent husband appeals various economic provisions of the parties' divorce decree. **AFFIRMED.**

Megan M. Antenucci and Timothy S. Eckley of Whitfield & Eddy, Des Moines, for appellant.

Beverly Wild, Guthrie Center, for appellee.

Considered by Oxberger, C.J., and Schlegel and Sackett, JJ.

000092

PER CURIAM.

Respondent-appellant Don A. Westphal appeals challenging the economic provision of a decree dissolving his ten-year marriage to petitioner-appellee Agnes I. Westphal. We affirm.

Donald and Agnes were married in 1981, when Don was forty-nine and Agnes was forty-four. At the time of marriage, Don was a carpenter, and Agnes was a waitress. At the time of marriage, Don claimed he had a net worth of about $50,000. Agnes claimed his net worth was considerably less. The trial court found it to be about $16,000. At the time of marriage, Agnes had a net worth of $2000.

The trial court found the parties at the time of the dissolution had a net worth of about $80,000 and awarded $50,000 to Don and $30,000 to Agnes.

Don contends he should have been awarded more property and the trial court did not give proper consideration to a premarital agreement. Don also complains about the trial court adopting verbatim a proposed decree submitted by Agnes.

Both parties were industrious during the marriage. The question is what is equitable. In assessing the award, we look at the factors codified in Iowa Code section 598.21(1). See In re Marriage of Whelchel, 476 N.W.2d 104, 107 (Iowa App. 1991).

000093

We agree with Don that among the factors we need consider is property brought into the marriage. We have considered this factor, as did the trial court.

We assess all the factors, including the premarital agreement, to see if there is in fact an equitable result. See In re Marriage of Van Brocklin, 468 N.W.2d 40, 46-47 (Iowa App. 1991).

We find no valid reason to disturb the division made by the trial court.

We award no attorney fees on appeal.

AFFIRMED.