


IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA



ROBERT L. BECKWITH, JR.)

Petitioner-Appellant,)

vs.)

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF JOB SERVICE,)
n/k/a EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD, and)
CBC FREIGHT,)

Respondents-Appellees.)

Filed September 30, 1987

7-241
86-1470

22

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Grundy County (No. 55-25) -
William G. Klotzbach, Judge.

Claimant appeals from the district court decision upholding denial of
unemployment benefits. **AFFIRMED.**

Robert T. Yeager, of Legal Services Corporation of Iowa, for
petitioner-appellant.

Blair H. Dewey and William C. Whitten for respondent-appellee Iowa
Department of Job Service.

Considered by Donielson, P.J., and Schiegel, and Sackett, JJ.

PER CURIAM

Claimant Herbert L. Beckwith, Jr., appeals from the district court order affirming the decision of the appeal board denying unemployment benefits. Claimant contends the district court erred in affirming the agency, contending (1) we should find as a matter of law he quit for good cause, and (2) there is not substantial evidence to support the agency's decision. We affirm.

The issue before us is whether there is substantial evidence to support the agency decision. Deaver v. Armstrong Robber Co., 170 N.W.2d 454, 455 (Iowa 1969).

The Iowa Administrative Procedure Act, Iowa Code §17A.19(8)(f) (1985), provides in a contested case the court shall grant relief from an agency decision which is not supported by substantial evidence in the record made before the agency when that record is viewed as a whole. Myers v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 373 N.W.2d 507, 509 (Iowa App. 1985). Evidence is substantial when a reasonable person would accept it as adequate to reach a conclusion. Gipson v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 315 N.W.2d 834, 837 (Iowa App. 1981). The question is not whether the evidence might support a different finding but whether the evidence supports the findings actually made. Boyd v. Iowa Department of Job Service, 377 N.W.2d 1, 2 (Iowa App. 1985). The possibility that two inconsistent conclusions can be drawn from the evidence does not mean that the agency's finding is unsupported by substantial evidence. Myers, 373 N.W.2d at 509.

There is substantial evidence in the record to support the decision of the agency.

AFFIRMED.