No. 98-0238. [9-239] STATE v. CONLIN

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Polk County, Jack D. Levin, Judge. **AFFIRMED.** Considered by Sackett, C.J., and Vogel and Zimmer, JJ. Opinion by Vogel, J. (5 pages $2.00)

Connie Conlin appeals her conviction for possession of a controlled substance with intent to deliver. **OPINION HOLDS:** ***Motion to suppress.*** Conlin admits she initially consented to a search of her home, but asserts that she withdrew her consent before the drugs were found. Conlin testified that the officers assured her that they were only going to do a routine walk-through and look for visible contraband. At the hearing on the motion to suppress, one officer testified that when his partner came out of the bedroom holding methamphetamine he found in Conlin's purse, she took a deep breath and said something like: "You kind of figured this was going to be here." The officers further testified that Conlin at no time limited or revoked her consent to search. Conlin, however, testified that when she saw the officer pick up her purse, she walked into the bedroom and grabbed it from him, protesting his invasion of her personal property. Conlin also testified that the officers took the purse back and told her to "shut up and sit down." The district court found that the officers' testimony was more credible than Conlin's. The court concluded that she did not limit her consent and did not at any time tell the officers to stop their search. While our review is de novo, we give weight to the district court's findings of credibility. After reviewing the record, we agree with the trial court's findings. As we agree that Conlin did not limit nor revoke her consent to the search, we conclude the district court did not err in overruling the motion to suppress.