IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

FILED
OCT 28 1987
CLERK SUPREME COURT

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF SUSAN ANDRA MICKLICK
AND ROBERT JOHN MICKLICK

Upon the Petition of
SUSAN ANDRA MICKLICK,

Petitioner-Appellant,

And Concerning
ROBERT JOHN MICKLICK,

Respondent-Appellee.

159

Filed October 28, 1987

7-405
86-1646

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Cerro Gordo County (No. 3794) - M. L. Mason, Senior Judge.

Appellant challenges the financial provisions of her dissolution decree. **REMANDED.**

M. Wayne Oltrogge, Clear Lake, for appellant.

John G. Sorenson, of Schuler, Stanton, Grabinski & Sorenson, Clear Lake, for appellee.

Considered by Oxberger, C.J., and Hayden, and Sackett, JJ. Snell, J., takes no part.

SACKETT, J. 160

In this appeal Susan Micklick contends (1) the property award made by the trial court is inequitable, and (2) the trial court should have awarded alimony. We find the record inadequate to address her claims and remand to the trial court.

Susan and Robert were married in 1967. They both are college graduates. They have two children, Jill, born in 1971, and John, born in 1975. Susan worked until Jill was born in 1971 and after that time has had part-time cleaning and sewing jobs. In 1985 Susan had a net annual income from her cleaning jobs of $2,447. Robert is employed by the Jefferson County Schools in Colorado and in 1985 showed wages of $37,199 plus net income of $1,850 from a water-testing business. Additionally, he has $3,154.96 which goes into a tax-deferred annuity.

The parties accumulated certain property which was divided by the trial court. Susan challenges the division and the trial court's refusal to award her alimony. We find this record inadequate to review the property and alimony issues. Robert has certain pensions and annuities. He has contributed to FICA, he has pension benefits accruing with the Colorado Public Employees Retirement System and a certain amount ($3,154.96 in 1985) is taken from his salary, tax-free, and invested for his benefit.

Robert neglected to disclose the current value of his pension with the Colorado Public Employees Retirement System and the trial court apparently did not value it. Additionally, no information was supplied as to the value of the investment of his tax-free deductions from his salary. Pension benefits should be considered in the property division. See In re Marriage of Voss, 396 N.W.2d 801, 803 (Iowa App. 1986).

Susan has an undivided interest in a remainder interest in an 80-acre tract. She made no attempt to value this interest. The value of Susan's undivided

remainder interest in the inherited farmland should be considered in assessing the amount necessary for Susan's needs. See id. at 804.

We call the parties' attention to Iowa Code section 598.13 which requires both parties to disclose their financial status. Parties to a dissolution have the obligation to make a full and fair disclosure of their income, assets and liabilities. In re Marriage of Mueller, 400 N.W.2d 86, 88 (Iowa App. 1986).

We affirm the dissolution of the marriage. We remand this case to the district court which shall take additional evidence and which shall by January 1, 1988, enter a final decree. Either party may then appeal from any provisions of the resulting final decree.

Costs on appeal are taxed to Robert.

REMANDED.

Hayden, J., concurs; Oxberger, C.J., dissents.