FILED
SEP 26 1990
CLERK SUPREME COURT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF IOWA

No. 0-320 / 89-1238

ROSE MANGUNO,

Appellant,

219

vs.

MARY BOWDEN, KENNETH BOWDEN, and JOHN JOSEPH BOWDEN,

Appellees.

Appeal from the Iowa District Court for Buchanan County, C.W. Antes, Judge.

Manguno appeals a district court order, following a jury verdict, awarding damages from John Bowden, but denying her any recovery from Mary and Kenneth, John's parents. **AFFIRMED.**

Rose Ann Manguno, Hazleton, pro se.

David L. Riley of Lindeman & Yagla, Waterloo, for appellees.

Considered by Oxberger, C.J., and Donielson and Schlegel, JJ.

OXBERGER, C.J.

On January 6, 1987, Rose Manguno filed a petition seeking damages from Mary, Kenneth, and John Bowden. Mary is Rose's sister and John is the adult son of Mary and Kenneth. Rose claimed that while a visitor at the Bowden residence on January 19, 1985, John assaulted her. Rose alleged that she suffered injuries from the assault and from falling on a slippery spot in the Bowdens' residence. Rose claimed damages from John for the assault and from Mary and Kenneth for inviting her to a dangerous household and for failing to maintain a safe environment for guests in their home.

Following trial, the jury returned a verdict in favor of Rose on her claim against John, but denied her claim for damages against Mary and Kenneth. The jury determined Rose's damages at $17,500, but found her twenty percent at fault in the incident. The court entered judgment against John for $14,000. Rose has appealed.

We review this case for correction of errors at law. Iowa R. App. P. 4. To evaluate claims of alleged errors occurring during the trial court proceedings we must be provided with a record showing preservation of the errors. The errors claimed by Ms. Manguno lack an accompanying record for us to evaluate what occurred during her trial. The burden rests on the objecting party to preserve errors in some manner so we may review the record for the claimed errors. Turner v. Jones, 215 N.W.2d 289, 291 (Iowa 1974).

Due to the lack of record regarding Ms. Manguno's claims of error we cannot address her claims.

After reading the provided record we cannot find any objections raised at the trial level regarding the errors claimed by Ms. Manguno. We do not address issues not presented and passed upon by the trial court. Suckow v. Neowa FS, Inc., 445 N.W.2d 776, 780 (Iowa 1989). Further, "[i]ssues are deemed waived or abandoned when they are not stated on appeal by brief; random discussion of difficulties, unless assigned as an issue, will not be considered." Hubby v. State, 331 N.W.2d 690, 694 (Iowa 1983).

Ms. Manguno has claimed several errors in her brief but she failed to provide any record that allows us to evaluate her claims. We cannot speculate on what may or may not have happened during her trial. Ms. Manguno's failure to provide us with any record containing preservation of her claimed errors is fatal to her appeal. Ms. Manguno only provided us with testimony she felt proved her case, but we are in no position to retry a case on selected bits of testimony. Accordingly, we affirm the trial court in all respects.

AFFIRMED.